



Notes of Meeting

Meeting Roads Authorities and Utilities Committee (Scotland)

Place of Meeting held on MS TEAMS

Date: Wednesday 2nd June 2021

Present: -

Jim Forbes (S.U. Co-Chair)	J.F.	CityFibre
Martin Polland (R.A. Co-Chair)	M.P.	Transport Scotland
Caroline Auld	C.A.	Network Rail
Clive Bairsto	C.B.	Street Works UK
Clare Callaghan	C.C.	Scottish Water
David Capon	D.C.	JAG UK
David Carter	D.Car.	South Lanarkshire Council
Gerry Cullen	G.C.	Traffic Scotland
Karyn Davidson	K.D.	Vodafone
Stephen Finch	S.F.	Openreach
Darren Grant	D.G.	SSEN
Julie Greig	J.G.	SGN
Kevin Hamilton	K.H.	Scottish Road Works Commissioner
Stuart Harding	S.H.	City of Edinburgh Council
Jason Halliday	J.H.	West Lothian Council
David Hearty	D.H.	MBNL-EE/3
Alan Heatley	A.H.	Midlothian Council
John Henderson	J.H.	Scottish Borders Council
Ewan Hogg	E.H.	Falkirk Council
Liz Jack	L.J.	Transport Scotland
Ian Jones	I.J.	Fife Council
Stephen Kitt	S.K.	BEAR Scotland
Carole McDonald	C.McD.	CityFibre
Fiona McInnes	F.McI.	Scottish Water
Aison MacLeod	A.MacL.	The Highland Council
Craig McQueen	C.McQ.	Scottish Water
Robert Marsden	R.M.	CityFibre
Andrew Matheson	A.M.	Virgin Media
Graham Milne	G.M.	o S.R.W.C.
Tom Murphy	T.M.	Argyll and Bute Council
Clare O'Brien	C.O'B.	Argyll and Bute Council
John O'Neill	J.O'N.	Dundee City Council
Kat Quane	K.Q.	Transport Scotland
Rob James	R.J.	Network Rail
Stephen Scanlon	S.S.	Openreach
Ruth Scott	R.S.	SSE Telecom
David Shaw	D.S.	Ayrshire Roads Alliance
Calum Stewart	C.S.	Glasgow City Council

Present Continued: -

Elaine Stewart	E.S.	Scottish Power Energy Networks
Scott Walker	S.W.	Clackmannanshire Council

In Attendance: -

George Borthwick	G.B.	RAUC(S) Secretary
Stuart Hay	S.Ha.	Living Streets Scotland

Apologies: -

Kevin Abercrombie	K.A.	Aberdeen City Council
David Armitage	D.J.A.	Aberdeenshire Council
Jamie Barr	J.B.	East Renfrewshire Council
Gavin Cook	G.C.	East Renfrewshire Council
Robin Pope	R.P.	The Highland Council
Alex Rae	A.R.	SGN
Iain Ross	I.R.	O.S.R.W.C.
Kevin Skinner	K.S.	Scottish Water
Mark Wrightson	M.W.	Openreach

1. Introduction and Apologies

Martin Polland welcomed everyone to the Meeting.

The apologies were noted as above.

2. Presentation – Living Streets – Stuart Hay, Director, Living Streets Scotland

Stuart Hay (Living Streets) gave a short presentation on signing past roadworks safety for people with a disability, mothers with prams and children and commenting as follows: -

S.Ha. indicated that he had already met with the Commissioner at which this topic was discussed.

Road works affect all but there are additional issues which must be taken into consideration for persons with a disability when setting up a site. The way a site is set up can have serious affects on whether or not a person with a disability can carry on with their daily life.

Living Streets is campaigning for safer streets for driving, walking, and cycling.

Exercise is good for general and mental health so should be taken up by all ages.

A survey was carried out in Edinburgh and found that road works and street clutter were a barrier to walkers, guardians with buggies and toddlers and wheelchair users. There was narrowing of the footways, signs and objects placed on the footways and no safe alternative routes to get passed the obstacles.

The Equality Act 2010 was created to ensure that all persons including those with a mobility issue would have rights to access.

A plea was made to both R.As and S.U.s ensure that works on footways / footpaths accommodated **All** safely, to pass and continue on their journey

Signing should be provided safely with no unnecessary signs used. Crossing Points should be clear to users. Where existing controlled crossings are within the site and not in use, they should be barriered off and switched off.

Where works are in the road and not affecting the footway, the signs should be placed in the road unless the footway is wide enough to accommodate them without reducing the width below the recommended. (Always remember the double buggies)

Works should be planned and be in accordance with the Red Book. Training must be provided for the operatives and awareness of these issues raised with all. The health and safety culture needs to be clearly spelt out not only in training but also in the general day to day operation of the working environment.

This is a significant problem which needs: -

- Using companies with a good history of setting up sites with the public in mind.
- Organisations should be driving disability awareness. Use disability awareness training so that the operatives get the opportunity to experience of what it is like to be disabled e.g. make a journey blindfolded, negotiate a route in a wheelchair or on crutches.
- Encourage comment from the public and listen to what is being said.
- Improve inspections and action reinstatement of signs, ramps, and barriers.
- Encourage Equalities Accreditation of operatives and employers.
- Target Penalties – Should be fair but robust.

Living Streets / MACS want to work with the community and will offer help wherever possible.

C.B. commented that signing and safety was paramount at all levels and awareness needed to be raised in training and in the daily workings of the Community. This was an issue which should be considered in Quality Assurance. The performance needed to be enforced through inspection and training rather than penalty. The Community needs to work together to drive forward improvement on signing and safety to meet the needs of **All** road users.

C.McQ. suggested that the signing and safety was just common sense and should be met by the content of the Red Book which was currently being reviewed so there was no better time to ensure that it covers this topic with suitable guidance.

D.C. noted the above comments and would pass them on to the review Group. This was also a matter which could be covered in the HAUC UK App and this also would be raised.

Comment was made on a site where cables were laid out on the surface with little or no signing / barriers. This was a site despite the fact that there was no excavations and needed to be correctly signed.

D.C. noted that this was a problem which needed to be addressed by the Road Works Community as a whole and also by the public. Signing is the regular target of vandalism especially at weekends and at night when there is no one on site. While there is a need to carry out inspections these are not 24 hours a day. Perhaps there is a need to train the public on this matter and maybe more action by enforcement / penalty against those who carry out the vandalism.

The placing of waste bins on the footway on collection day was another problem which creates the same problem as signing. The suggestion was that the bins should also be on the road unless the footway is of sufficient width.

D.C. was asked to pass the link to S.H. for the “Safe for All” video which deals with this topic. All who have viewed it have considered it to be very good training aid. **Action – D.C.**

S.H. was asked to provide copies of the slides for circulation to the members.

K.H. thanked S.H. for his presentation. MACS had asked if signing was improving. In his view the signing has improved with the use of proper barriers and ramps but there was work to be done. He was fully supportive of the idea that a survey be carried out to find the current standard of signing which would provide a base line to judge the performance going forward. Funding will be sought for the survey and report.

J.O’N. said that all were aware of the problem but there was a need to train the supervisors so that they could carry the message of the need for clear safe routes passed the works for all, to the operatives on site.

G.C. suggested that there was a need for the design of the signs to be reviewed and this should be a topic for the Red Book review. Is there a better way to construct the signs or even look to mount on existing street light and sign poles. Signs are being manufactured in recycled plastic which may be safer and meet the need to progress to zero waste options.

Comment was made that correctly sized signs should be used, as in urban areas they can be smaller than often used.

M.P. thanked Stuart for his thought-provoking presentation.

3. Minutes of Meeting of Wednesday 3rd March 2021

a. Accuracy

The Minutes of the previous meeting held on Wednesday 3rd March 2021 were agreed as read.

b. Matters Arising / Action Tracking Summary

The Action Tracking Summary was reviewed and updated.

Item No. 9b was promoted to this stage in the agenda and was discussed as follows: -

- One of the reasons for the review was to balance out the numbers of Organisations attending the meetings and to reduce the number of meetings which need to be attended each cycle.
- The emphasis was to be on the Local Coordination meetings and less on the Area meetings.
- The initial draft was issued in December 2020 and has been raised at meetings since then. The proposal was first made 2 years ago.
- Any feedback received since then was considered in the preparation of the version circulated for this meeting with the intention that it would be approved and work could be started on the introduction of the new area and local meeting groupings.
- Various groupings, including SCOTS family bundles had been considered in the plan development.
- A.MacL. apologised for picking up on this matter at this late stage and provided comment as follows: -
 - There was discussion with Moray Council and while there did not appear to be benefit in joint meetings they would proceed if necessary. Moray had suggested that a better arrangement would be to join up with Aberdeenshire as there was more joint working with that Council.

- The suggestion that Angus and Dundee should join NoSRAUC was one which did not appear to have any benefit as these Councils were well south and detached from the north grouping. Both these Councils were of the same view.
- Highland cannot be the only R.A. to have missed out on the need for Community discussion on this matter.
- M.P. was concerned that these issues were only now being raised when there had been sufficient time to consider and comment on the plans. The view of the Working Group was that the Local meetings were the most important ones and where the programmes / coordination could be discussed and progressed. The general Community discussions should be at the Area / RAUC(S) levels and therefore should not have the same importance allocated to cross border issues.
- The use of TEAMS for meetings now reduced time and travel for the Community so the question was raised about the need to change the existing Area / Local groupings.
- J.F. suggested the Coring Programme could go ahead based on the current 5 Areas and the results could be edited to the 4 Areas to produce the final report.
- A suggestion had been made to SCOTS that they employ a consultant to carry out the coring and the R.As would pay for the service provided on completion.
- The proposal was made that the Area Meetings are cancelled leaving only the Local Meeting and the RAUC(S) Meeting.
- The number of meetings which the S.U.s attend will reduce to a minimum of 15 from a minimum of 24 while the R.As will attend the same number of meetings.
- J.O'N. agreed with A.MacL. that there had been problem with the way the proposal had been dealt with by the R.As which had resulted in the current problem. In TayForth the Tay Local and Central Local cluster worked well as there were other common working arrangements. E.H. echoed these views.
- Other R.As expressed their concern about the changes as they did not reflect the operational patterns on the ground. The proposals did not mirror the Trunk Road Operational areas.
- F.McI. was concerned that this Item had been on the Agendas for some time with no comment being made. The main result required from the review was that the number of meetings which S.U.s need to attend is reduced and borders etc is not critical.
- J.F. indicated that the proposal had now been in the Community for 2 years and he wanted to see the revised meeting Groups in place for April 2022.
- S.H. proposed a one off meeting of all the R.As so that matter could be fully discussed and a Report passed to the Constitution Review Group for their consideration. S.H. will convene the meeting as soon as possible. The Secretary was given clearance to provide S.H. with R.A. contacts.

Action – S.H. / Secretary / All R.As

4. RAUC(s) Working Group Reports

a. National Coring Programme

The Committee noted that: -

- The Working Group are meeting monthly and continuing the review of Advice Note No. 3.
- There were only a few responses from the Consultation, but they had been considered and integrated where appropriate. C.McQ. thanked those who had submitted comment.
- East Dunbartonshire will be the Lead Authority for the SW Area. The contact information is still to be provided.
- Highland Council will be the Lead for NoSRAUC and the contact is likely to be A.Mac:L.
- Knowledge and assistance will be shared to help with the preparation of the Contract Document and generally with the programme.
- The Programme is being progressed based on the 5 existing areas. Lead Representatives will attend the Area RAUC Meetings and provide reports on the programme progress.

- Khub is being suggested for circulation of papers to replace the need for splitting large programmes, papers and reports for circulation between Organisations and with the Consultant.

b. Advice Note No. 8 – Temporary Traffic Signals Review

J.G. reported on the review as follows: -

- The review was completed with more changes made than previously planned.
- As no responses had been received, the Secretary was asked to resend the draft for comment. Responses should be submitted by 18th June.

c. Quality Plans Working Group

The previously circulated papers were taken as read with comments as follows: -

- The papers included a report from the Working Group on the development, The draft Quality Plan and a paper on Critical Questions.
- The papers were late for circulation for this meeting cycle, so comments were not received.
- For consultations papers need to be circulated earlier prior to meeting cycles. This applies to all consultations.
- The Plan deals with three sections which are Planning, On Site and Performance.
- The plan requires a level standard for the performance to measure improvement against.
- This is an ongoing development aiming to introduce the Q.Ps in April 2023.
- The Critical Questions had been produced by K.Q. to assist in the development of the Q.P.
- The drafting is being guided by the Scottish Government, the Commissioner and the Community with the aim of producing a Q.P. which satisfies the need of these parties.
- Parties issued with a Section 109 Licence automatically becomes a Utility for the duration of the licence. They will therefore require to work to a Quality Plan and the Commissioner suggested that the R.As draft a generic Q.P. which they can be issue to licence holders. The Q.P. could be included in the Licence administration.
- R.As may be asked to work to Quality Plans so having one prepared could be a sensible idea.

d. Working Group Recruitment

The updated Schedule had been circulated to this meeting and the following comments made: -

- Gazetteer Group – remove Alan Bowman and add Julien Green from Argyll and Bute. David Carter (South Lanarkshire) and William Neill Dumfries and Galloway are interested in joining the Group. Contact should be made with Alex Ramage.

C.McQ. reported the following issues relating to the SROR Review on behalf of K.S.: -

- At present there is a need to review the membership of the Group as there is an imbalance between the number of R.A and S.U. Representatives which in general is not a problem but could be if there was a need to take a vote on a proposal. The view of the Commissioner was that the knowledge base of the Group took priority over the numbers of Representation.
- What would be the next stage following on from completion of the Scoring process? The Commissioner indicated that the main issues would be passed to whoever was best placed to deal with them which could be in his Staff or in the Review Group.
- Is the existing SROR or the new SROH being used as the base document for the Review? The Commissioner responded that the SROR was being reviewed but reference to the SROH will

be made to use any suitable sections which it contained which would be of value to the SROR.

5. Area RAUC(s) Action Reports

The previously circulated report was taken as read.

a. NoSRAUC Area

The previously circulated Report from D.G. was taken as read.

b. South East Area RAUC

The previously circulated report was taken as read.

c. South West Area RAUC

The previously circulated report was taken as read.

d. TayForth Area RAUC

The previously circulated report was taken as read.

e. WOS Area RAUC

The previously circulated report was taken as read.

6. RAUC(s) Business

a. Safety – Issues for Consideration

M.P. repeated the plea to all to circulate Safety Bulletins and to bring information on any safety events in their Organisation to the meetings for training and awareness. **Action - All**

7. HAUC (UK) and Associated Subgroup Report

a. Report

C.B. provided the following verbal report: -

- The Conference was held on the Web on 19th and 20th May using Vision. There was a good attendance with over 600 taking part online. He thanked the Commissioner or his input to the Conference. Further comment was made as follows: -
 - The content had relevance to Organisations north and south of the Border.
 - There was discussion on Climate Control which is an important item for the Industry.
 - A summary of the main issues discussed will be issued in due course.
- Vision – work is now progressing on the various topics covered by the programme.
- Strategic Plans - work is now progressing on the various topics covered by the programme.
- More input is needed from SEPA on dealing with environmental waste from the industry.

D.C. commented as follows: -

- Flexi Permits dealing with coordination / collaboration are being trialled on one network. There are up to 30% efficiencies being achieved.
- The Diversionary Works Group have met, and they are contacting sections of the Community to advise them on the project and ask for any input.
- The HAUC App – The app is now being used. D.C. offered to provide a presentation on the App to the RAUC(S) Meeting in September. **Action – D.C. / Co-Chairs**
- The NUAR Project dealing with symbology for indicating plant on plans is being progressed in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. This is likely to have a feed in to VAULT.
- TAG has now gone online.
- A link to the Commissioners web site is to be provided on the HAUC UK web site.

b) HAUC UK Working Groups – RAUC(S) Reps

All is up to date except: -

- Valerie Park will not be the R.A. Representative and should be removed from the list. Michael Grunwell (Dumfries and Galloway) may put himself forward. **Action – D.Ca. / Secretary**
- Lindsay Henderson is to be added to the Safety Working group. **Action – Secretary**
- John Scougall has retired so should be removed from the Training and accreditation list. **Action – Secretary**

c) TAG Report

The previously circulated report was taken as read with the following comments: -

- Some Regulations may change.
- R.As would be included in the requirement which would need training and certification of relevant operatives.

d) Diversionary Works

The working group is now meeting.

e) Safety

The DfT will be leading on the review of the Red Book.

A meeting will be scheduled for June and the invitations will be sent out in due course.

8. Standing Reports

ai. The Scottish Road Works Commissioner's Report

K.H. reported as follow: -

- GDPR – The operation of RAUC(S) under this Legislation is being checked. Already the Secretary has been asked to send out emails with the addresses hidden and he has been asked not to pass on contact information. He will in future pass the request to the relevant person to respond direct to the enquiry.
- MACS (Mobility and Access Committee for Scotland) – meetings have been held with MACS to discuss problems which arise due to Roadworks. There were 3 issues raised as follows: -

T.M. signs placed on the footway – all should ensure that there is a clear 1.5 metre corridor passed them. The absolute minimum width to be used in extreme circumstances must not be less than 1 metres.

When the works are completed the T.M. should be removed as soon as possible. Even stacking them neatly can give rise to problems e.g. vandalism.

Temporary Kerb Ramps should be of the correct incline and should be securely fixed down the existing surface. Turning space must be provided top and bottom of the ramp.

- The Coring Programme will need to be carried out in all R.A. areas in order to get a full picture of the performance on reinstatements accross the whole of Scotland.
- The question of who was responsible for the posting of the Route for a TTRO was raised. The Commissioner indicated that the TTRO was the responsibility of the R.As and they should therefore, post the diversions.

iii. Performance (Dashboard)

G.M. provided the following performance report: -

- The SRWC dashboard for 2020 / 21 Q4 had been circulated and was taken as read with the following comments: -
 - In most cases work levels have returned to the pre Covid levels and the compliance levels are satisfactory.
 - Some R.As work levels are still low and they will be contacted to discuss the situation.
 - All Organisations should email the Commissioner's Office with their plans to deal with poor performance in any of the Report categories.
 - Any queries should be passed to G.M. via enquiries@roadworks.scot
- 2020/21 Performance Reviews – there have been a number of responses to the previously issued proposals for the Performance Reviews and they have been examined. Following consideration, the Review will proceed based on the aggregated Q3/Q4 levels of compliance for Noticing Failures and Unplanned Works and where appropriate comment will be made on an individual Organisation's adherence to the directions issued at the onset of the pandemic.
- The Commissioner has published 'Monitoring and Compliance Bulletin No. 4 on the web site to clarify recent changes to the Performance Targets for 2021-22.
- Organisations currently under review have submitted their Q4 2020/21 plans. Organisations continue to be reviewed quarterly with the next submission date being 16th July 2021. There is still 1 RA and 3 Undertakers on improvement Plans.
- Consideration is being given to publishing performance data more regularly. The data from the Dashboard would be posted on the Commissioner's web page. If there are any views on this proposal, they should be passed to the Commissioner's Office.
- L.H. intends to attend more Local Meetings this year and has issued a request to be added to the Invitation contact lists.

b. Policy Development Group

K.Q. updated the meeting as follows: -

- There were no changes to the guidance for dealing with Covid since the last meeting. The instructions is Stay at Home (Work from home if possible) – Stay in the Area – Stay Safe.

- Physical Distancing in canteens should be 1 metre in Category 1 and 2, and 2 metres in Category 3.

The Heating Network Scotland Bill was approved and awaits the Royal Assent. In due course the Organisations responsible for the Networks will become Utilities.

C.B. commented that R.As should be aware that there would be more networks being provided and the plant would need to be managed in the road.

Transport (Scotland) Act 2019 – More progress has been made and there are some indicative dates appended to the previously circulated Schedule.

The repeal of Section 61 and the Red Book Compliance will both be dealt with on 25th November 2021.

The Preface to the Red Book for Scotland will probably be added in the Spring 2022.

The Seminar on the Act which was cancelled last year will hopefully be held in the Spring 2022.

A SSI will be drafted for R.A. Operatives being trained and accredited.

J.F. commented that the repeal of Section No. 61 will require a review of the Advice Note. It was noted that only a minor change was required as there was only one paragraph to be removed. D.Ca. will pick up on this with J.F. **Action – D.Ca. / J.F.**

K.H. commented as follows: -

- Advice Note No. 22 - The Use of S109 of the New Road and Street Works Act 1991. Replacing Section 61 of the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984 – K.H. questioned if this document was still needed or if it could be cancelled. This matter will need to be considered by the Coordination Working Group.
- Red Book Compliance – The compliance requires to be indicated to the R.A. top management. **Action – K.H.**

c. Management and Operation of the S.R.W.R.

ci) Quarterly Management Report (Includes Vault Update Report and Gazetteer Update Report)

The previously circulated reports were taken as read with the following comments: -

- As of 2nd June 2021, 64 of the 85 Organisations have paid their Fees and Amounts invoices. The remaining 21 will receive a reminder that the due date was the 1st June.
- All should note that the telephone number for the Symology Support Desk has been changed to **0808 1968341** (or by email to srwr@symology.co.uk).
- Any problems or queries related to the Register should be reported to the support Desk. If there are any issues with the response, they can be escalated to I.R. via the Enquiries email address.
- The Webinars are continuing to be provided. The feedback suggests that they are being welcomed by the Community. If there are any suggestions for topics, they should be passed to I.R. or the Support Desk. Information on the Webinars can be found on the Aurora Portal news.
- All R.As should be agreeing the numbers of Sample Inspections to be used for this year. The figure on the Register is provided for information and does not take into

account annual fluctuations in the planned programmes. The figure needs to be agreed with the Organisation and the corrected number recorded on the Register.

- All are reminded that the VAULT updates are now require every 2 months.
- There are interfacing facilities on the Register to allow connection to management systems. For more information contact I.R. via the enquiries email address.

The Commissioner's Newsletter will be circulated in due course.

cii) Vault Update

The previously circulated report was taken as read. All Organisations should ensure they are keeping their data up to date.

ciii) Gazetteer Update

The previously circulated report was taken as read. All Organisations should ensure they are keeping their data up to date.

civ) Gazetteer Highlight Report

There were no issues raised under this Item.

d) COP 26 – Glasgow November 2021

M.P. commented as follows: -

- Meetings related to this event are ongoing.
- It was noted that C.S. had circulated the list of Embargoes for the Euros Football Championships and they will circulate the list of Embaroes for the COP 26 in due course.
- Information from Transport Scotland / R.As will be issued as soon as it is available.
- R.As should review the need for Embargoes on their Arterial Routes and SIDRS.
- Cross border coordination of embargoes is essential.

9. A.O.C.B.

a. SRWC / Government Advice

The secretary was asked to close this Item on the Agenda.

Action – Secretary

b. RAUC(S) Constitution

This Item was dealt with earlier in the meeting and minuted above.

c. Electric vehicle Charging Points

D.Ca reported that this matter had been raised at recent Area Meetings and would be raised as a topic at a South West R.A. meeting. This is an issue which is increasingly being raised as owners or potential owners of E.Vs, who are asking about getting permission to have a private charging point in the road outside their property. This was no more manageable than having cables lying across the footway.

Was there any information on which to base discussion and / or could there be a presentation on the subject?

K.Q. commented that with the net zero option agenda being pushed there needs to be consideration given to this problem which, as increasing numbers of E.Vs come on the road, can only get more urgent. She agreed to make some enquiries withing Scottish Government / Scottish Government.

d. Claire O'Brien Leave Arrangements

C.O'B indicated that she would soon be on maternity leave and the contacts would be: -

Argyll and Bute
Tom Murphy / Frederick Hart

SAT

Clare Callaghan

The Commissioner recorded his best wishes to Clare.

d. Roads Authorities Co-Chair

D.C. noted that this was the last RAUC(S) meeting to be Chaired by M.P. as he stood down following a 3 year stint as the Roads Co-Chair.

D.C., The Commissioner, the Committee on behalf of the Community thanked M.P. for his input as a Member and Co-Chair of RAUC(S) over the years.

M.P. thanked all for their support over the 3 years as Co-Chair and for the support he had received from the members.

M.P. reminded the Community that the R.A. Co-Chair falls vacant and urgently needs to be filled. While D.J.A. has agreed to stand in for the next meeting he was considering retirement from Aberdeenshire and would not be able to be the replacement Co-Chair. **Action – All Community R.As**

10. Dates of Next Meetings:

M.P. thanked all for their attendance and input to the meeting and indicated that the next meetings would be held as follows: -

AGENDA MEETING

Wednesday 18th August 2021

RAUC(s) Meeting

Wednesday 1st September 2021

The Venues for the two meetings will be MS TEAMS.

Future Meeting Dates: - To be agreed.

RAUC(s) Agenda

Setting Meetings (Venue MS TEAMS)

Wednesday 17th November 2021

RAUC(S)

Meetings (Venue MS Teams)

Wednesday 1st December 2021

The meeting Closed at 13.05